

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS  
DALLAS DIVISION**

**SHIRLEY A. FLOWERS,**

**PLAINTIFF,**

**V.**

**GARY C. THOMAS, ET AL.,**

**DEFENDANTS.**

§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§

**CIVIL CASE NO. 3:19-CV-1355-N-BK**

**ORDER ACCEPTING FINDINGS, CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATION  
OF THE UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE**

The United States Magistrate Judge made findings, conclusions and a recommendation in this case. No objections were filed. The District Court reviewed the proposed findings, conclusions and recommendation for plain error. Finding none, the Court ACCEPTS the Findings, Conclusions and Recommendation of the United States Magistrate Judge. Accordingly, Plaintiff's *Motion for Emergency Restraining Order and Injunctive Relief* is DENIED.

SO ORDERED this 23<sup>rd</sup> day of July, 2019.

  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS  
DALLAS DIVISION**

**SHIRLEY A. FLOWERS,**

**PLAINTIFF,**

**V.**

**GARY C. THOMAS, ET AL.,**

**DEFENDANTS.**

§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§

**CIVIL CASE NO. 3:19-CV-1355-N-BK**

**ORDER ACCEPTING FINDINGS, CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATION  
OF THE UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE**

The United States Magistrate Judge made findings, conclusions and a recommendation in this case. Plaintiff filed objections, and the District Court has made a *de novo* review of those portions of the proposed findings and recommendation to which objection was made. The objections are overruled, and the Court ACCEPTS the Findings, Conclusions and Recommendation of the United States Magistrate Judge. Accordingly, Plaintiff's *Motion for Emergency Restraining Order and Injunctive Relief* is DENIED.

SO ORDERED this \_\_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_, 2019.

\_\_\_\_\_  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE